



EN

AL/ALAC/ST/0712/2
ORIGINAL: English
DATE: 25 Jul 2012
STATUS: Final

AT-LARGE ADVISORY COMMITTEE
ALAC Statement on the Inter-Registrar Transfer Policy Part C Policy
Development Process Initial Report

Introduction

By the Staff of ICANN

Alan Greenberg, ALAC Liaison to the GNSO and At-Large member from the North-American Regional At-Large Organization (NARALO), composed an initial draft of this Statement after discussion of the topic within At-Large.

On 11 July 2012, this Statement was posted on the [At-Large Inter-Registrar Transfer Policy Part C Policy Development Process Initial Report Workspace](#).

On that same day, Olivier Crépin-Leblond, Chair of the ALAC, requested ICANN Policy Staff in support of ALAC to send a call for comments on the draft Statement to all At-Large members via the ALAC-Announce Mailing List.

On 18 July 2012, the Chair of the ALAC requested that Staff open a five-day ALAC ratification on the Statement.

On 25 July 2012, Staff confirmed that the online vote resulted in the ALAC endorsing the Statement with 14 votes in favor, 0 votes against, and 0 abstentions. You may review the result independently under: <https://www.bigpulse.com/pollresults?code=2528HncrndYzcUXxBXIkZNsZ>

The Chair then requested that the Statement be transmitted to the Public Comment process, copying the ICANN Staff member responsible for this Public Comment topic.

[End of Introduction]

The original version of this document is the English text available at <http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence>. Where a difference of interpretation exists or is perceived to exist between a non-English edition of this document and the original text, the original shall prevail.

ALAC Statement on the Inter-Registrar Transfer Policy Part C Policy Development Process Initial Report

The ALAC supports the general direction that the IRTP C PDP WG is heading. Specifically, the ALAC strongly supports all measures that will reduce the possibility of domain hijacking while still providing legitimate registrants the ability to change registrars.

The ALAC similarly supports all efforts to formally define the process by which the registrant of record can be changed, with implicit safeguards to inhibit hijacking. The ALAC does not have strong views as to whether this needs to be a separate consensus policy or not, but the overall results and benefits to registrants should not be diminished by this decision.

The ALAC supports the requirement to have all gTLDs use the IANA Registrar IDs (in addition to any proprietary ones if desired).

Lastly, the report could benefit from a clearer overview describing the change of registrar and registrant processes.